

## Homework 5: Ordered Response Models

Due Date:

### Exercise 1: Interpretation

Use the same data set as last week – nes92.dta – which is a small subset of the 1992 National Election Study (cleaned up). The file should be reasonably self-documenting but you can also look at the codebook (nes92\_codebook.pdf).

- Drop the Perot voters. Estimate the following model using probit, ordered probit, logit and ordered logit:

$$\begin{aligned}
 clinton_i^* = & \beta_0 + \beta_1 DistancetoClinton + \beta_2 DistancetoBush + \beta_3 Economyworse \\
 & + \beta_4 Education + \beta_5 Union + \beta_6 Income + \beta_7 Black + \epsilon
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Put the results in a table like the one below. What is the relationship between probit and ordered probit, and between logit and ordered logit?

Table 1: The Determinants of Presidential Vote Choice in 1992

Dependent Variable: ClintonVote (1 if Clinton, 0 if Bush)				
Regressor	Probit	Ordered Probit	Logit	Ordered Logit
DistancetoClinton				
DistancetoBush				
EconomyWorse				
Education				
Union				
Income				
Black				
Constant				
$\tau_1$				
Log likelihood				
Observations				

\*  $p < 0.10$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$  (two-tailed)  
(Standard errors are given in parentheses)

- Now clear the data from STATA and reload nes92.dta so that we now regain the Perot voters. *v2* is a measure of Bush Approval. Rename the variable ‘bushapproval’. This variable goes from 0 = Strongly Disapprove, 1 = Disapprove, 2 = Approve, and 3 = Strongly Approve. Label the values as above in STATA. Now type ‘tabulate bushapproval’. You will now see the number of responses in each category.
- Now estimate the following model using OLS, ordered probit and ordered logit.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{BushApproval}_i^* = \beta_0 &+ \beta_1 \text{Economyworse} + \beta_2 \text{Education} + \beta_3 \text{Union} \\
 &+ \beta_4 \text{Income} + \beta_5 \text{Black} + \epsilon
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2}$$

Put the results in a table like the one shown below:

Table 2: The Determinants of Bush Approval

Dependent Variable: The Determinants of Bush Approval  
(0 Strongly Disapprove 3 Strongly Approve)

Regressor	OLS	Ordered Probit	Ordered Logit
EconomyWorse			
Education			
Union			
Income			
Black			
Constant			
$\tau_1$			
$\tau_2$			
$\tau_3$			
Log likelihood			
Observations			

\*  $p < 0.10$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*\*  $p < 0.01$  (two-tailed)  
(Standard errors are given in parentheses)

- Using the results from the ordered probit model, manually (in STATA) test that the threshold parameters are statistically different from each other i.e. test that  $\tau_1$  is different from  $\tau_2$  and that  $\tau_2$  is different from  $\tau_3$ . How do you interpret the threshold parameters?

5. By hand (in STATA) fill in the table shown below with the following guidelines.

- Scenario 1: Respondent is white, Education=15 years, Income=\$30,000, Union=0, EconomyWorse=3
- Scenario 2: Respondent is white, Education=15 years, Income=\$30,000, Union=1, EconomyWorse=3
- Difference: Change in probability between Scenario 1 and Scenario 2 i.e changing Union from 0 to 1.
- Marginal Effect (Income): This is the marginal effect of income in scenario 1.

Table 3: Predicted Probabilities, Marginal Effects, First Differences

	Strongly Disapprove	Disapprove	Approve	Strongly Approve
Predicted Probability (Scenario 1)				
Predicted Probability (Scenario 2)				
Difference				
Marginal Effect (Income) (Scenario 1)				

Scenario 1: Union=0; Scenario 2: Union=1  
 Education=15 years, Income=\$30,000, Union=0, EconomyWorse=3  
 (95% Confidence Interval in parentheses)